arXiv:math/0112104v1 [math.QA] 11 Dec 2001

ADDENDUM TO 'BOSONIC FORMULAS FOR (k, l)-ADMISSIBLE PARTITIONS'

B. FEIGIN, M. JIMBO, S. LOKTEV, T. MIWA AND E. MUKHIN

Abstract. In our earlier paper we made a combinatorial study of (k, l)-admissible partitions. This object appeared already in the work of M. Primc as a label of a basis of level k-integrable modules over $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l$. We clarify the relation between these two works. As a byproduct we obtain an explicit parameterization of the affine Weyl group of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l$ by a simple combinatorial set.

1. Introduction

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l$ be the affine Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_l \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}, t] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$. In [Pr], M. Primc constructed a basis of an integrable highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$ for any dominant integral weight Λ of level k. With some change of notation, his basis is described as follows.

We write $e_{ij}[n] = e_{ij} \otimes t^n$ where $e_{ij} = (\delta_{ia}\delta_{jb})_{1 \le a,b \le l}$. Consider the abelian Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l$ spanned by

$$e_{21}[n], e_{31}[n], \cdots, e_{l1}[n], \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let

$$W(\Lambda) = U(\mathfrak{a})v_\Lambda$$

be the $\mathfrak{a}$-submodule of $V(\Lambda)$ generated by the highest weight vector v_Λ, which satisfies $e_{ij}[n]v_\Lambda = 0$ $(n > 0)$. Denote by $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{l-1}$ the fundamental weights and $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^{l-1}$ the simple roots. On $V(\Lambda)$ there is a projective representation of the lattice $\oplus_{i=1}^{l-1}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$, which we denote by $\gamma \mapsto T_\gamma$.

Set

$$\beta = \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13} + \cdots + \alpha_{1l},$$

where $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{j-1}$ $(i < j)$. Translating $W(\Lambda)$ by $T = T_\beta$, we have an increasing family of subspaces $\cdots \subset T^m W(\Lambda) \subset T^{m+1} W(\Lambda) \subset \cdots$. It is not hard to see that the entire space is obtained as a limit:

Proposition 1.1. *([Pr], Theorem 8.2)*

$$V(\Lambda) = \langle T \rangle W(\Lambda),$$

where $\langle T \rangle$ denotes the group generated by T.

Date: February 1, 2008.

A sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of integers with finitely many non-zero entries is called (k,l)-*admissible* if

$$0 \le x_i \le k, \quad x_i + x_{i+1} + \cdots + x_{i+l-1} \le k$$

for all $i \ge 0$. Let $X(\Lambda)$ denote the set of all (k,l)-admissible sequences such that

$$x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_i \le (\Lambda, \alpha_{1 i+2}) \qquad (0 \le i \le l-2).$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in X(\Lambda)$, introduce the vector

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1)\quad M(\mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{n\ge 0}\prod_{i=2}^{l} e_{i1}[-n]^{x_{n(l-1)+i-2}}\, v_\Lambda \\ &= \cdots e_{l1}[-1]^{x_{2l-3}} \cdots e_{21}[-1]^{x_{l-1}} e_{l1}[0]^{x_{l-2}} \cdots e_{21}[0]^{x_0} v_\Lambda . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2. *(*[Pr]*, Theorem 9.1) The set*

$$\mathcal{M}(\Lambda) = \{M(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in X(\Lambda)\} \tag{1.2}$$

constitutes a basis of $W(\Lambda)$.

By Proposition 1.1, translating this basis one obtains a basis of $V(\Lambda)$.

Theorem 1.3. *(*[Pr]*, Theorem 9.1) The space $V(\Lambda)$ has a basis indexed by sequences $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of non-negative integers satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) *$x_i = 0$ for $i \gg 0$,*
(ii) *$x_i = (\Lambda, \alpha_{i+1})$ for $i \ll 0$ (the index of α_{i+1} is to be read modulo l),*
(iii) *$x_i + x_{i+1} + \cdots + x_{i+l-1} \le k$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Informally, one may think of $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ as representing a semi-infinite monomial

$$\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\prod_{i=2}^{l} e_{i1}[-n]^{x_{n(l-1)+i-2}}\, v_\infty$$

where v_∞ is an ideal vector 'at infinity'. Such a semi-infinite basis was also considered in [FS]. Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are the main results of [Pr].

The basis (1.2) is homogeneous with respect to the grading by the weight lattice $P = \oplus_{i=0}^{l-1}\mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$ ($\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1}\alpha_i$). In general, for a P-graded vector space $U = \oplus_{\lambda\in P} U_\lambda$, we call the formal series

$$\chi(U) = \sum_{\lambda\in P} \dim(U_\lambda) e^{\lambda}$$

the *character* of U. Theorem 1.2 implies that the character of $W(\Lambda)$ is given by the generating series of (k,l)-admissible partitions

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in X(\Lambda)} e^{\mathrm{wt}(M(\mathbf{x}))}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the weight of the monomial (1.1) is

$$\mathrm{wt}(M(\mathbf{x})) = \Lambda - \sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{i=2}^{l} x_{n(l-1)+i-2}(\alpha_{1i}+n\delta).$$

We studied the generating function (1.3) and obtained a 'bosonic' formula for it in [FJLMM] (see also Remark 2.1 below). At the time of the writing, reference [Pr] somehow escaped our notice. The purpose of this Addendum is to discuss the connection between the works [Pr] and [FJLMM], and to present an alternative proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

The logic of our proof is as follows. Utilizing the relations arising from the integrability and highest weight condition, one shows that (1.2) is a spanning set of $W(\Lambda)$. This is an easy part of the proof which we do not repeat in this paper.

The spanning property together with Proposition 1.1 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(V(\Lambda)) &= \lim_{m\to\infty}\chi(T^m W(\Lambda))\\ &\ll \lim_{m\to\infty}\chi\left(T^m \mathcal{M}(\Lambda)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

Here, for $f=\sum_{\lambda\in P} f_\lambda e^\lambda$ and $g=\sum_{\lambda\in P} g_\lambda e^\lambda$, we write $f \ll g$ if $f_\lambda \leq g_\lambda$ holds for all $\lambda\in P$. Therefore the proof is completed if one shows that the last expression (1.4) coincides with $\chi(V(\Lambda))$. We do so by showing that in the limit (1.4) the formula in [FJLMM] gives rise to the Weyl-Kac character formula. This is the main result of this paper.

It turns out that the limit can be taken term-wise, no additional summation is needed. In particular, each summand in the bosonic formula of [FJLMM] corresponds to a summand in the Weyl-Kac formula. In the former case, the summands are parameterized by "translated good monomials" see (2.6), (2.15), and in the latter case by the elements of affine Weyl group of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l$. Therefore we obtain an explicit bijection between these two sets, see Corollary 2.9.

2. Bosonic formula

2.1. **Notation.** Besides those introduced already, we use the following notation. Let $(\ ,\ )$ be the invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}^* = \oplus_{i=0}^{l-1}\mathbb{C}\Lambda_i \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta$ normalized as $(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)=2$. We use the orthonormal vectors ϵ_i $(i=1,\cdots,l)$ in the Euclidean space to express $\overline{\Lambda}_i = \Lambda_i - \Lambda_0$ as $\overline{\Lambda}_i = \overline{\epsilon}_1+\cdots+\overline{\epsilon}_i$, where $\overline{\epsilon}_i = \epsilon_i - \overline{\epsilon}$, $\overline{\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{l}\epsilon_i/l$. We have $\alpha_{ij} = \overline{\epsilon}_i - \overline{\epsilon}_j$.

The extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W} \simeq \overset{\circ}{P} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_l$ is the subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ generated by $\overset{\circ}{P} = \oplus_{i=1}^{l-1}\mathbb{Z}\overline{\Lambda}_i$ and the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_l$ on l letters. The action of an element $w=\gamma\cdot\sigma \in \widetilde{W}$ $(\gamma\in\overset{\circ}{P},\ \sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_l)$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is given by the rule: $w(\mu) = w\mu = t_\gamma(\sigma(\mu))$ $(\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*)$, where

$$\sigma(\Lambda_0) = \Lambda_0,\ \sigma(\delta) = \delta,\ \sigma(\bar\epsilon_i) = \bar\epsilon_{\sigma(i)} \quad (1 \le i \le l), \tag{2.1}$$

$$t_\gamma(\mu) = \mu + (\mu,\delta)\gamma - \left(\frac{1}{2}(\mu,\delta)(\gamma,\gamma) + (\gamma,\mu)\right)\delta, \tag{2.2}$$

see formula (6.5.2) in [K].

The affine Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ is the subgroup of the extended Weyl group $\mathcal{W} \simeq \overset{\circ}{Q} \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_l$, $\overset{\circ}{Q} = \oplus_{i=1}^{l-1}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subset \overset{\circ}{P}$.

For a real root β, we denote by r_β the corresponding reflection. Then we have $r_\beta \in \mathcal{W}$, moreover, the affine Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ is generated by reflections r_{α_i}, $i = 0, \dots, l-1$. For $w_1, w_2 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ we set $\mathrm{Ad}_{w_1}(w_2) = w_1 w_2 w_1^{-1}$. Then for $w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and a real root β we have

$$\mathrm{Ad}_w r_\beta = r_{w(\beta)}. \tag{2.3}$$

2.2. **Previous results.** Let us recall the main points of [FJLMM].

Given a set of non-negative integers $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^{l-2}$ such that

$$0 \le b_0 \le b_1 \le \cdots \le b_{l-2} \le k,$$

define a formal power series in the variables $q, z_1, \cdots, z_{l-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_{b_0,\cdots,b_{l-2}}(q, z_1, \cdots, z_{l-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} z_1^{x_0} \cdots z_{l-1}^{x_{l-2}} (qz_1)^{x_{l-1}} \cdots (qz_{l-1})^{x_{2l-3}} \cdots \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \prod_{n\ge 0} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} (q^n z_i)^{x_{n(l-1)+i-1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where the sum runs over all (k,l)-admissible sequences $\mathbf{x}$ satisfying $x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_i \le b_i$ $(0 \le i \le l-2)$. Eq. (2.4) is the unique power series solution of the difference equation

$$\chi_{b_0,\cdots,b_{l-2}}(q, z_1, \cdots, z_{l-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{b_0} z_1^i \chi_{b_1-i,\cdots,b_{l-2}-i,k-i}(q, z_2, \cdots, z_{l-1}, qz_1)$$

with the initial condition $\chi_{b_0,\cdots,b_{l-2}}(q, 0, \cdots, 0) = 1$.

Let $P_1, \cdots, P_l$ be monomials in $q^{\pm1}, z_1^{\pm1}, \cdots, z_{l-1}^{\pm1}$, and write

$$[P_1, \cdots, P_l] = P_1^{b_0} P_2^{b_1-b_0} \cdots P_l^{k-b_{l-2}}.$$

We define linear operators A, B which act on expressions of the form $f(q, z_1, \cdots, z_{l-1})[P_1, \cdots, P_l]$ by the formulas

$$A\left(f[P_1, \cdots, P_l]\right) = \frac{S(f)}{1 - z_1 S(P_l/P_1)}[S(P_1), S(P_1), S(P_2), \cdots, S(P_{l-1})],$$

$$B\left(f[P_1, \cdots, P_l]\right) = \frac{S(f)}{1 - z_1^{-1} S(P_1/P_l)}[z_1 S(P_l), S(P_1), S(P_2), \cdots, S(P_{l-1})],$$

where S stands for the shift operator

$$(Sf)(q,z_1,\cdots,z_{l-1})=f(q,z_2,\cdots,z_{l-1},qz_1). \tag{2.5}$$

In terms of A,B, the difference equation and the initial condition can be recast into the form

$$\chi_{b_0,\cdots,b_{l-2}}=\lim_{m\to\infty}(A+B)^m[1,\cdots,1].$$

An ordered product of operators A,B, $M=C_1C_2\cdots C_n$ ($C_i\in\{A,B\}$), is called a monomial, and n is called the degree of M. When $n=0$, $M=1$ means the identity. M is called *good* if

$$C_i=A \text{ implies } C_{i+l-1}=A \text{ for } i=1,\cdots,n-l+1. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the set of good monomials such that either $n=0$, or else $C_n=B$. The main result of [FJLMM] is the formula

$$\chi_{b_0,\cdots,b_{l-2}}=\sum_{M\in\mathcal{G}}M\mathbf{v}_\infty, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}_\infty=\frac{1}{(z_1)_\infty\cdots(z_{l-1})_\infty}[1,\cdots,1],$$

and $(z)_\infty=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-q^nz)$.

Remark 2.1. *Actually the character treated in* [FJLMM] *is a specialization of* (2.4) *given by*

$$q=\overline{q}^{l-1},\ z_1=z,\ z_2=\overline{q}z,\cdots,z_{l-1}=\overline{q}^{l-2}z,$$

where $\overline{q},z$ stand for the variables q,z used in [FJLMM]. *The working of* [FJLMM] *carries over straightforwardly to the present setting as well.*

2.3. **Reformulation by root systems.** Let us reformulate the above results in terms of the root system of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_l$.

In the sequel we make the identification of variables

$$q=e^{-\delta},z_1=e^{-\alpha_{12}},\cdots,z_{l-1}=e^{-\alpha_{1l}}.$$

Then a monomial in $q^{\pm1},z_1^{\pm1},\cdots,z_{l-1}^{\pm1}$ is an element of the group ring $\mathbb{C}[Q]$. The shift operator (2.5) acting on $\mathbb{C}[Q]$ is implemented as $S(e^\beta)=e^{s(\beta)}$, where s is the element of the extended Weyl group given by

$$s=\overline{\epsilon}_2\cdot\sigma,\quad \sigma=(2\,3\,\cdots\,l)\in\mathfrak{S}_l.$$

To each good monomial $M\in\mathcal{G}$, we associate an element w_M of the affine Weyl group by the following rule. Write M as a product $C_1C_2\cdots C_n$ and read it from the left. Associate a sequence of roots $\beta_1,\beta_2\cdots=\alpha_{12},\alpha_{13},\cdots$ with

$$\beta_{m(l-1)+r}=\alpha_{1\,r+1}+m\delta\qquad(m\in\mathbb{Z},1\le r\le l-1).$$

From the above sequence, drop β_i such that $C_i = A$, and denote the resulting sequence by $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_p$. We define

$$w_M = r_{\gamma_p} \cdots r_{\gamma_1}.$$

For example, if $l = 3$ and $M = BBBABAB$, then

$$w_M = (r_{\alpha_{12}+3\delta})(r_{\alpha_{12}+2\delta})(r_{\alpha_{12}+\delta}) r_{\alpha_{13}} r_{\alpha_{12}}.$$

Alternatively, w_M is determined recursively as follows.

Lemma 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= 1, \\ w_{AM} &= \mathrm{Ad}_s(w_M), \\ w_{BM} &= \mathrm{Ad}_s(w_M) r_{\alpha_{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from the definition, formula (2.3) and

$$\begin{aligned} &s(\alpha_{12}) = \alpha_{13},\ s(\alpha_{13}) = \alpha_{14}, \cdots, s(\alpha_{1l-1}) = \alpha_{1l}, \quad s(\alpha_{1l}) = \alpha_{12} + \delta, \\ &s(\bar\epsilon_1) = \bar\epsilon_1 + \frac{1}{l}\delta, \qquad s(\delta) = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

obtained from formulas (2.1), (2.2). □

Next we define for each $M \in \mathcal{G}$ a formal Laurent series f_M in $q, z_1, \cdots, z_{l-1}$ inductively as follows.

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{(e^{-\alpha_{12}})_\infty \cdots (e^{-\alpha_{1l}})_\infty}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$f_{BM} = S(f_M) \frac{1}{1 - e^{\mathrm{Ad}_s(w_M)\bar\epsilon_1 - \bar\epsilon_2}}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$f_{AM} = S(f_M) \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\mathrm{Ad}_s(w_M)\alpha_{12}}} = -e^{\mathrm{Ad}_s(w_M)\alpha_{12}} f_{BM}. \tag{2.10}$$

We apply the last formula when AM is also a good monomial. In (2.10) we have used

$$\mathrm{Ad}_s(w_M)\bar\epsilon_2 = \bar\epsilon_2 \quad \text{if} \quad AM \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{2.11}$$

Formula (2.11) follows from the fact that if $AM \in \mathcal{G}$ a root of the form $\alpha_{12} + j\delta$ does not appear in the sequence used to calculate $\mathrm{Ad}_s(w_M)$.

The result (2.7) is then rephrased as follows.

Proposition 2.3. *For a dominant integral weight Λ of level $k = (\Lambda, \delta)$, we have*

$$\chi\left(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)\right) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{G}} f_M\, e^{w_M(\Lambda)}.$$

Proof. We prove

$$M\mathbf{v}_\infty = f_M\, e^{w_M(\Lambda) - \Lambda} \tag{2.12}$$

by induction on the degree of M. The Proposition is an immediate consequence of (2.7) and (2.12).

In general, for $\lambda \in P$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ we have

$$w(\lambda) - \lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (\lambda, \alpha_i)(w(\Lambda_i) - \Lambda_i).$$

Upon writing $\gamma_i = w(\Lambda_i) - \Lambda_i$ and noting $\mathrm{Ad}_s(w)\lambda - \lambda = s(ws^{-1}\lambda - s^{-1}\lambda)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}_s(w)\lambda - \lambda &= (\lambda, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)s\gamma_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{l-2} (\lambda, \alpha_{i+1}) s\gamma_i \\ &\quad + (\lambda, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1)s\gamma_{l-1} - (\lambda, \alpha_1)s\gamma_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}_s(w) r_{\alpha_1}\lambda - \lambda &= (\lambda, \alpha_1)s(\alpha_0 + \gamma_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-2} (\lambda, \alpha_{i+1}) s\gamma_i \\ &\quad + (\lambda, \alpha_0)s\gamma_{l-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Take $b_i = (\Lambda, \alpha_{1 i+2})$ $(0 \le i \le l-2)$, so that

$$\Lambda = (k - b_{l-2})\Lambda_0 + b_0\Lambda_1 + (b_1 - b_0)\Lambda_2 + \cdots + (b_{l-2} - b_{l-3})\Lambda_{l-1}.$$

For a good monomial $M \in \mathcal{G}$ we set $P_i = e^{\gamma_i}$, where $\gamma_i = w_M(\Lambda_i) - \Lambda_i$ and $P_l = P_0$. In the notation of the previous subsection and using (2.14), we have then

$$\begin{aligned} &[P_1, \cdots, P_l] = e^{w_M(\Lambda) - \Lambda}, \\ &[z_1 S(P_l), S(P_1), S(P_2), \cdots, S(P_{l-1})] = e^{w_{BM}(\Lambda) - \Lambda}, \\ &f_{BM} = \frac{S(f_M)}{1 - z_1^{-1} S(P_1/P_l)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case AM is also a good monomial, we find from (2.11) that $w_M(\Lambda_{l-1}) - \Lambda_{l-1} = w_M(\Lambda_0) - \Lambda_0$. Eq. (2.13) then simplifies to yield

$$\begin{aligned} &[S(P_1), S(P_1), S(P_2), \cdots, S(P_{l-1})] = e^{w_{AM}(\Lambda) - \Lambda}, \\ &f_{AM} = \frac{S(f_M)}{1 - z_1 S(P_l/P_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f_{BM} e^{w_{BM}(\Lambda) - \Lambda} &= B\left(f_M e^{w_M(\Lambda) - \Lambda} \right), \\ f_{AM} e^{w_{AM}(\Lambda) - \Lambda} &= A\left(f_M e^{w_M(\Lambda) - \Lambda} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Introduce the formal inverse ofi letter B, B^{-1}, with the property $B^{-1}B = BB^{-1} = 1$ and consider the set of *translated good monomials*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} = \{ B^{-n} M \mid n \ge 0,\ M \in \mathcal{G} \}. \tag{2.15}$$

We extend the definition of w_M, f_M for $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ by the rule

$$\begin{aligned} w_{B^{-1}M} &= (\mathrm{Ad}_s)^{-1}(w_M r_{\alpha_{12}}), \\ f_{B^{-1}M} &= S^{-1}\left((1 - e^{w_M(\bar\epsilon_2)-\bar\epsilon_2})f_M\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $w_{B^{-1}BM} = w_{BB^{-1}M} = w_M$ and $f_{B^{-1}BM} = f_{BB^{-1}M} = f_M$, so w_M and f_M, $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$, are well defined.

Lemma 2.4. *The map $w : \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \to \mathcal{W}$ sending M to w_M is injective.*

Proof. The restriction of the map w to $\mathcal{G}$ is injective. Indeed, let the sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ be the extremal configuration in the sense of [FJLMM], Subsection 2.5. Then we have

$$e^{w_M(\Lambda)-\Lambda} = \prod_{n=0}^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} (q^n z_i)^{x_{n(l-1)+i-1}}.$$

It is proved in Proposition 2.11 there that if we regard $b_i = (\Lambda, \alpha_{1i+2})$ as variables, then a good monomial $M \in \mathcal{G}$ is uniquely determined from $\mathbf{x}$. Since Λ is arbitrary, our claim follows.

Notice that if $w_{M_1} \neq w_{M_2}$ then $w_{B^{-1}M_1} \neq w_{B^{-1}M_2}$. Now the lemma follows from the fact that if $M \in \mathcal{G}$ then $B^n M \in \mathcal{G}$ for $n > 0$. □

We set

$$r^{(j)} = \begin{cases} r_{s^{j-1}(\alpha_{12})} \cdots r_{s(\alpha_{12})} r_{\alpha_{12}} & (j \geq 0), \\ r_{s^j(\alpha_{12})} \cdots r_{s^{-2}(\alpha_{12})} r_{s^{-1}(\alpha_{12})} & (j < 0), \end{cases}$$

so that $r^{(i+j)} = (\mathrm{Ad}_s)^i (r^{(j)}) r^{(i)}$ and $w_{B^j M} = (\mathrm{Ad}_s)^j (w_M) r^{(j)}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.5.

$$s^{l(l-1)} = r^{(l(l-1))} = t_{-\beta}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\beta = \alpha_{12} + \cdots + \alpha_{1l} = l\bar\epsilon_1$.

Proof. This follows from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} s^j &= t_{\bar\epsilon_2 + \cdots + \bar\epsilon_{j+1}} \cdot \sigma^j \qquad (1 \leq j \leq l-1), \\ r_{\alpha_{1j} - n\delta} &= t_{n\alpha_{1j}} r_{\alpha_{1j}}, \\ r^{(j(l-1))} &= t_{-\alpha_{12} - \cdots - \alpha_{1j}} \cdot (12 \cdots l)^j \qquad (1 \leq j \leq l). \end{aligned}$$

□

2.4. **Comparison with the Weyl-Kac formula.** Recall the translation operator $T \in \mathrm{End}\,(V(\Lambda))$ which gives a linear isomorphism between weight spaces $T : V(\Lambda)_\lambda \to V(\Lambda)_{t_\beta \lambda}$. We note that acting with the operator B^l corresponds to T. If we write

$$\chi\left(\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X(\Lambda)} e^{\mathrm{wt}(M(\mathbf{x}))} = e^\Lambda \chi(q, z_1, \cdots, z_{l-1}),$$

then the character of the translated set $T^m\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\chi\left(T^m\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)\right) &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in X(\Lambda)} e^{t_{m\beta}(\mathrm{wt}(M(\mathbf{x})))} \\ &= e^{t_{m\beta}(\Lambda)}\chi(q, q^{-ml}z_1, \cdots, q^{-ml}z_{l-1}) \\ &= e^{t_{m\beta}(\Lambda)}(S^{-ml(l-1)}\chi)(q, z_1, \cdots, z_{l-1}).\end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\chi\left(T^m\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)\right) &= e^{t_{m\beta}(\Lambda)}\sum_{M\in\mathcal{G}} S^{-ml(l-1)}\left(f_M e^{w_M(\Lambda)-\Lambda}\right) \\ &= \sum_{M\in\mathcal{G}} S^{-ml(l-1)}(f_M)e^{(t_{m\beta}w_M)(\Lambda)} \\ &= \sum_{M\in B^{-ml(l-1)}\mathcal{G}} S^{-ml(l-1)}(f_{B^{ml(l-1)}M})e^{w_M(\Lambda)},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.5. If we set

$$h_M = \lim_{n\to\infty} S^{-n}(f_{B^nM}),$$

then

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\chi\left(T^m\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)\right) = \sum_{M\in\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} h_M\cdot e^{w_M(\Lambda)}. \tag{2.17}$$

Lemma 2.6.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f_M}{h_M} &= \prod_{2\le i\le l}(qe^{w_M(\overline{\epsilon}_1)-\overline{\epsilon}_i})_\infty \\ &\times \prod_{2\le j<i\le l}(e^{w_M(\overline{\epsilon}_i)-\overline{\epsilon}_j})_\infty \prod_{2\le i\le j\le l}(qe^{w_M(\overline{\epsilon}_i)-\overline{\epsilon}_j})_\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. Applying (2.9) repeatedly we obtain

$$S^{-n}(f_{B^nM}) = f_M\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1-e^{(w_Ms^{-j}r^{(j)})(\overline{\epsilon}_2)-s^{-j}(\overline{\epsilon}_2)})^{-1}.$$

To calculate $s^{-j}(\overline{\epsilon}_2)$ note relations (2.16) and

$$s^{-(l-1)j-p}(\overline{\epsilon}_2) = \overline{\epsilon}_{l+1-p} + \frac{l+j-p}{l}\delta, \quad (j\in\mathbb{Z}, 0\le p\le l-2).$$

For the calculation of $(s^{-j}r^{(j)})(\overline{\epsilon}_2)$ we use

$$r^{((l-1)j+p)}(\overline{\epsilon}_2) = \begin{cases}\overline{\epsilon}_{j+3} & (0\le j\le p-2),\\ \overline{\epsilon}_1 + j\delta & (j=p-1),\\ \overline{\epsilon}_{j+2} & (p\le j\le l-2),\\ \overline{\epsilon}_2-\delta & (j=l-1),\end{cases}$$

where $1\le p\le l-1$. After simplification we obtain formula (2.18). $\square$

Let D denote the Weyl denominator

$$D=(q)_\infty^{l-1}\prod_{i=1}^{l-1}(z_i)_\infty(qz_i^{-1})_\infty\prod_{1\le i<j\le l-1}(z_i^{-1}z_j)_\infty(qz_iz_j^{-1})_\infty.$$

Let $\rho=\Lambda_0+\cdots+\Lambda_{l-1}$. For all $w\in\mathcal{W}$, we have

$$w(e^\rho D)=\operatorname{sgn}(w)\,e^\rho D. \tag{2.19}$$

Lemma 2.7. *For $M\in\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ we have*

$$h_M=w_M(D^{-1})=D^{-1}\operatorname{sgn}(w_M)\,e^{w_M(\rho)-\rho}.$$

Proof. The second equality is just a version of (2.19). From the definition of h_M it is clear that

$$h_{BM}=S(h_M).$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}h_1&=D^{-1},\\ h_{AM}&=-h_{BM}e^{\mathrm{Ad}_s(w_M)\alpha_{12}}.\end{aligned}$$

These properties uniquely characterize h_M. On the other hand, a direct verification shows that $S(D)=-De^{\alpha_{12}}$. Noting $s(\rho)=\rho-\alpha_{12}$, we have for all $w\in\mathcal{W}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ad}_s(w)r_{\alpha_{12}}(D^{-1})&=S(w(D^{-1})),\\ \mathrm{Ad}_s(w)(D^{-1})&=-S(w(D^{-1}))e^{\mathrm{Ad}_s(w)\alpha_{12}}.\end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from these equalities. □

Proposition 2.8.

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\chi\left(T^m\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)\right)=\mathrm{ch}(V(\Lambda)).$$

Proof. From (2.17) and Lemma 2.7, we find

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\chi\left(T^m\mathcal{M}(\Lambda)\right)=D^{-1}\sum_{M\in\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}}\operatorname{sgn}(w_M)e^{w_M(\Lambda+\rho)-\rho}.$$

By Lemma 2.4, the right hand side can be written as a sum $\sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}}$ over some subset $\mathcal{S}$ of $\mathcal{W}$. In the special case $k=0$, the left hand side is 1, so the Weyl denominator formula implies

$$\sum_{w\in\mathcal{S}}\operatorname{sgn}(w)\,e^{w(\rho)-\rho}=\sum_{w\in\mathcal{W}}\operatorname{sgn}(w)\,e^{w(\rho)-\rho}.$$

Since $w(\rho)=\rho$ holds if and only if $w=1$, we must have that $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{W}$. The proposition is then a consequence of the Weyl-Kac character formula ([K], formula 10.4.1). □

Corollary 2.9. *The map $w:\ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}\to\mathcal{W}$ sending M to w_M is bijective.*

Acknowledgments. This work is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) no.12440039 and (A1) no.13304010, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The work of SL is partially supported by the grant RFBR-01-01-00546.

References

[FJLMM] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, S. Loktev, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, *Bosonic formulas for (k, l)-admissible partitions*, math.QA/0107054

[FS] B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, *Quasi-particles models for the representations of Lie algebras and geometry of flag manifold*, hep-th/9308079, RIMS 942; *Functional models for the representations of current algebras and the semi-infinite Schubert cells*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **28** (1994), 55–72.

[K] V.Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras, 3d edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990

[Pr] M. Primc, *Vertex operator construction of standard modules for $A_n^{(1)}$*, Pacific J. Math. **162** (1994) 143–187; *Standard representations of $A_n^{(1)}$*, in Proceedings of Marseilles Conference 'Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras and Groups', Advanced Studies in Mathematical Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, **7** (1988), 273–282.

BF: Landau institute for Theoretical Physics, Chernogolovka, 142432, Russia
E-mail address: `feigin@feigin.mccme.ru`

MJ: Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan
E-mail address: `jimbomic@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`

SL: Institute for Theoretical and Experemental Physics and Independent University of Moscow
E-mail address: `loktev@mccme.ru`

TM: Division of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan
E-mail address: `tetsuji@kusm.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

EM: Department of Mathematics, Indiana University-Purdue University-Indianapolis, 402 N.Blackford St., LD 270, Indianapolis, IN 46202
E-mail address: `mukhin@math.iupui.edu`